AD	

GRANT NUMBER: DAMD17-98-1-8083

TITLE: Breast Cancer Biomarkers Based on Nipple and Fine Needle Aspirates

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Edward R. Sauter, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Fox Chase Cancer Center

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19111

REPORT DATE: May 1999

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR:

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20000829 048

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188				
1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank	k)	2. REPORT DATE			TYPE AND DATES CO			
4.	TITLE AND SUBTITLE	l	May 1999	P	nnual	5/1/98 - 4/30/ 5. FUNDING NUMB			
 *.		ב ת	an Minuta and Dina N	NT 11 A			LIIG		
ľ	Breast Cancer Biomarkers	based	on Nipple and Fine i	DAMD17-98-1-8083					
6.							-1-0003		
-	Edward R. Sauter, M.D.								
	Edward R. Sadtor, W.D.								
7.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING O	RGANIZATION		
	Fox Chase Cancer Center					REPORT NUMBE	ER .		
	Philadelphia, F	o enns	svlvania 19	111					
	initiadorphia, i	. 011111	oyivania is	T T T					
9.	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGI	ENCY NA	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	8)		10. SPONSORING/M			
						AGENCY REPOR	RT NUMBER		
	U.S. Army Medical Resear	rch and	Materiel Command						
	Fort Detrick, Maryland 21	1702-50	012						
11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES								
100	DICTRIBUTION/AVAIL ADILITY OT	***********	IT.			12b. DISTRIBUTION C	PODE		
12a.	DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST	A I EMEN	I I			120. DISTRIBUTION C	ODE		
	Ammunication Dublic malaca	an diate	ibution unlimited						
Approved for Public release; distribution unlimited									
13.	ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words	;)							
T	ne purpose of this propo	rsal w	as twofold: 1) to a	^∩mnat	e the	success of expe	erienced vs		
				_					
	experienced individuals								
i	mples, and 2) to compa				_	_			
су	cle parameters, p53, pr	olifer	ation index, epide	rmal gı	owth	factor receptor	, and prostate-specific		
an	tigen. Each of these bid	omark	ers has been evalı	aated ir	i FNA	A and/or NAF s	amples. Each of the		
m	ethods of specimen coll	lection	n have limitations	, sugge	sting	that combining	the information gained		
	om each modality may								
							ase Cancer Center. The		
_	•		•			•	Center (KUMC). A trip		
ha	is already been made to	KUM	IC to learn the FN	IA prod	cedur	e. Subject accru	ial should soon begin.		
14.	SUBJECT TERMS		· 				15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
14.									
Breast Cancer							6 16. PRICE CODE		
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SEC	URITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SE	CURIT	CLASSIFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
	OF REPORT		THIS PAGE		ABST				
	Unclassified	Un	classified	1 0	nclass	ified	Unlimited		

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.
() Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.
() Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.
(X) Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.
() In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Us of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).
(X) For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) have adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 32 CFR 219 and 45 CFR 46.
() In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Insitutes of Health.
Principal Investigator's Signature Mar 23,1999 Date
Principal Investigator's Signature Date

Table of Contents

Front Cover	1
Standard Form 298	2
Foreword	3
Table of Contents	4
Body	5

Grant No: DAMD17-98-1-8083

Project Title: Breast Cancer Biomarkers Based on Nipple and Fine Needle

Aspirates

Principal Investigator: Edward R. Sauter, M.D.

Institutions: Fox Chase Cancer Center, Kansas University Medical Center (KUMC)

Performance Period: 1 May 1998 – 30 April 1999

The purpose of this proposal was twofold: 1) to compare the success of experienced vs. inexperienced individuals in collecting nipple aspirate fluid (NAF) and fine needle aspirate (FNA) samples, and 2) to compare biomarker results in these specimens, including cytology, ploidy, cell cycle parameters, p53, proliferation index, epidermal growth factor receptor, and prostate-specific antigen. Each of these biomarkers has been evaluated in FNA and/or NAF samples. Each of the methods of specimen collection have limitations, suggesting that combining the information gained from each modality may tell the physician more about a subject's breast cancer risk.

At Fox Chase Cancer Center, I have performed breast nipple aspiration on approximately 400 subjects. Samples have been collected on both pre- and postmenopausal subjects, subjects with normal breast cancer risk, with benign breast disease, with atypical hyperplasia, and with invasive breast cancer. KUMC has significant experience performing "blind" fine needle aspiration, i.e., aspiration in an area without a palpable or mammographically visible lesion, having collected specimens from over 300 women. Our goal is to recruit fifty subjects yearly to the proposed trial.

We have thus far received approval from our institutional review board (IRB) at Fox Chase Cancer Center for both the English and the Hispanic versions of the protocol. The protocol is currently under review by the IRB at Kansas University Medical Center KUMC). In the fall of 1998, I flew to KUMC to learn the FNA procedure as performed at KUMC. I subsequently procured materials (funnels, filters, reagents) required to isolate the cells in a manner similar to KUMC.

Some time after initial approval of the study, I received notice from our institutional review board that the study was on hold. Some members of the committee wanted me to outline in greater detail the nipple aspiration results which I had obtained to date, our success, complications, and so forth. While I expected this to be a quick procedure, it turned out to be a very slow process, lasting approximately 9 months. I am happy to say that the members are now satisfied, and I do not anticipate any further impediments to subject recruitment.